of a variety of sluggishly reactive and/or sparsely soluble hypervalent iodine reagents in water under neutral conditions. Further studies on the application of this system are now in progress.

Experimental Section

Method A (for primary alcohols): PhI=O (0.44 mmol; Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.) was added at room temperature to a stirred mixture of 1 (0.20 mmol) and KBr (0.04 mmol) in water (1.0 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The resulting mixture was extracted with AcOEt, washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/n-hexane) to give pure 2. Intermolecular esterification through nucleophilic attack on the initially formed aldehyde also proceeds under the conditions of Method B.

Method B (for secondary alcohols): Water (2.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol), PhI=O (0.22 mmol), and KBr (0.2 mmol). The mixture was stirred or sonicated for several hours while checking the reaction progress by gas or thin-layer chromatography. After completion, n-hexane was added to the mixture, and then filtered. Evaporation of the solvent under vacuum afforded a crude product that was further purified by column chromatography (Et₂O/n-hexane) to give pure 2.

Method C (for the oxidation with PSDIB): PSDIB (22 mmol), used without any pretreatment, was added at room temperature to a stirred suspension of 1 (20 mmol) and KBr (14 mmol) in water (40 mL), and the mixture was then sonicated for several hours. The resulting mixture was filtered and the residue containing 2 was washed with water to remove KBr, then extracted with *n*-hexane or MeOH, and the filtrate was evaporated to give 2. The product was purified by column chromatography, when necessary.

Received: September 21, 1999 [Z14040]

- a) K. Sato, M. Aoki, J. Takagi, R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12386 12387; b) I. E. Marko, M. Tsukazaki, P. R. Giles, S. M. Brown, C. J. Urch, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2297 2299; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2208 2210; c) M. Zhao, J. Li, E. Mano, Z. Song, D. M. Tschaen, E. J. J. Grabowski, P. J. Reider, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2564 2566, and references therein.
- [2] Recent reviews, see a) P. J. Stang, V. V. Zhdankin, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1123-1178; b) A. Varvoglis, Hypervalent Iodine in Organic Synthesis, Academic Press, San Diego, 1997; c) T. Kitamura, Y. Fujiwara, Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1997, 29, 409-458; d) T. Wirth, U. H. Hirt, Synthesis 1999, 1271-1287.
- [3] a) D. B. Dess, J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155 4156; b) S. D. Meyer, S. L. Schreiber, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7549 7552.
- [4] a) M. Frigerio, M. Santagostino, S. Sputore, G. Palmisano, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7272–7276; b) E. J. Corey, A. Palani, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3485–3488.
- [5] a) P. Müller, J. Godoy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981, 22, 2361–2364; b) T. Yokoo, K. Matsumoto, K. Oshima, K. Utimoto, *Chem. Lett.* 1993, 571–572; c) A. De Mico, R. Margarita, L. Parlanti, A. Vescovi, G. Piancatelli, *J. Org. Chem.* 1997, 62, 6974–6977, and references therein.
- [6] T. Takaya, H. Enyo, E. Imoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1968, 41, 1032.
- [7] For our recent work, see a) Y. Kita, H. Tohma, K. Hatanaka, T. Takada, S. Fujita, S. Mitoh, H. Sakurai, S. Oka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3684-3691; b) Y. Kita, M. Arisawa, M. Gyoten, M. Nakajima, R. Hamada, H. Tohma, T. Takada, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6625-6633; c) T. Takada, M. Arisawa, M. Gyoten, R. Hamada, H. Tohma, Y. Kita, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7698-7706; d) Y. Kita, M. Egi, T. Takada, H. Tohma, Synthesis 1999, 885-897; e) M. Arisawa, S. Utsumi, M. Nakajima, N. G. Ramesh, H. Tohma, Y. Kita, Chem. Commun. 1999, 469-470, and references therein.
- [8] a) Organic Synthesis in Water (Ed.: P. A. Grieco), Blackie Academic, London, 1998; b) Aqueous-Phase Organometallic Catalysis (Eds.: B. Cornils, W. A. Herrmann), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998; c) Organic Reactions in Aqueous Media (Eds.: C.-J. Li, T.-H. Chan), Wiley, New York, 1997.

- [9] a) H. Tohma, S. Takizawa, H. Watanabe, Y. Kita, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 4547–4550; b) H. Tohma, S. Takizawa, H. Watanabe, Y. Fukuoka, T. Maegawa, Y. Kita, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 3519–3523.
- [10] The effects of halide ions have been reported by several groups: a) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, J. Hayashi, M. Mizoguchi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 165–168; b) S. D. Rychnovsky, R. Vaidyanathan, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 310–312; c) J. H. Espenson, Z. Zhu, T. H. Zauche, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 1191–1196.
- [11] a) N. Goudarzian, P. Ghahramani, S. Hossini, *Polym. Int.* 1996, *39*, 61–62; b) R. A. Lee, D. S. Donald, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, *38*, 3857–3860; c) B. Hinzen, S. V. Ley, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 1 1997, 1907–1908; d) J. M. Harris, Y. Liu, S. Chai, M. D. Andrews, J. C. Vederas, *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, *63*, 2407–2409; e) S. Abraham, P. K. Rajan, K. Sreekumar, *Polym. Int.* 1998, *45*, 271–277; f) J. Habermann, S. V. Ley, J. S. Scott, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 1 1999, 1253–1255.
- [12] H. Togo, G. Nogami, M. Yokoyama, Synlett 1998, 534-536.
- [13] a) S. V. Ley, A. W. Thomas, H. Finch, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 669-671; b) S. V. Ley, O. Schucht, A. W. Thomas, P. J. Murray, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 1251-1252. They obtained benzaldehyde quantitatively, however, only a trace amount of 2e was obtained even after 48 h under their reaction conditions (PSDIB in CH.CL)
- [14] PSDIB was prepared from polystyrene standard (M_w = 44000) (Aldrich) via poly(iodostyrene) (78% iodinated) following known procedures. Elemental analysis (C 45.86, H 3.93, I 37.41) revealed the resin loading to be 2.0 mmol g⁻¹, which indicated that 68% of the iodophenyl rings in the resin was converted into (diacetoxyiodo)-phenyl rings: Y. Yamada, M. Okawara, Makromol. Chem. 1972, 152, 153–162.
- [15] J. J. Parlow, B. L. Case, M. S. South, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 6785 6796.
- [16] Intermolecular homoesterification mainly proceeds to give Ph(CH₂)₃OCO(CH₂)₂Ph (51%) in the absence of NaHCO₃ (2k: 38%).
- [17] Even after stirring PSDIB in water for 4 d, the addition of **1e** and KBr to this suspension gave **2e** in 68 % yield.
- [18] 2e was obtained only in 8% yield, when the reaction was performed with PSDIB in CH₂Cl₂ (containing 4-Å molecular sieves) in the presence of 20 mol% NEt₄Br as a bromide ion source. However, addition of a catalytic amount of water to the above mentioned mixture enhanced the yield of 2e to 51%.

Total Synthesis of (+)-Concanamycin F**

Ian Paterson,* Victoria A. Doughty, Malcolm D. McLeod, and Thomas Trieselmann

The concanamycin group of macrolides, first isolated from a culture of *Streptomyces diastatochromogenes* Sp. S45 by Kinashi and co-workers and typified by concanamycin A (1, Figure 1)^[1a-d] and its aglycone, concanamycin F 2),^[1e,f] exhibit potent inhibition of vacuolar (H^+) ATPase activity.^[2] The

^[*] Dr. I. Paterson, V. A. Doughty, M. D. McLeod, T. Trieselmann University Chemical Laboratory Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW (UK) Fax: (+44)1223-336362 E-mail: ip100@cus.cam.ac.uk

^[**] We thank the EPSRC (GR/K54052), Knoll Pharmaceuticals (CASE Studentship to V.A.D.), the Cambridge Commonwealth Trust (M.D.M.), and Merck for support, and Dr R. Norrie (Knoll Pharmaceuticals) for helpful discussions. We also thank Prof. A. Zeeck (Göttingen) for kindly providing copies of ¹H NMR spectra of concanamycin F (concanolide A).

Figure 1. Structures of concanamycins A and F (concanolide A).

(ability of the concanamycins to disrupt cellular acidification leads to a diverse range of biological activity, including antiviral^[3a] and immunosuppressant activity^[3b] as well as the attenuation of resistance in MDR tumor cell lines.^[3c] Recently, this general class of bioactive macrolides, which include the bafilomycins, has served as a template for the design of novel therapeutic agents for the treatment of osteoporosis by selectively targeting the proton ATPase responsible for bone resorption.^[3d,e]

The concanamycins are characterized by a densely functionalized 18-membered macrolactone incorporating a polyoxygenated side chain at C₁₇. The side chain itself contains a six-membered hemiacetal which is both acid- and base-labile. The structural complexity of these macrolides and their significant biological activity have attracted considerable synthetic attention. A total synthesis of concanamycin F (2) has been described recently by the Toshima group, [4a,b] and syntheses of the simpler 16-membered macrolides bafilomy $cin A_1^{[5a-c]}$ and hygrolidin^[5d] have also been achieved. We now report an expedient, highly stereocontrolled synthesis^[6] of concanamycin F (26 steps, 5.3% overall yield) by the application of anti-selective aldol reactions of chiral ketones 3-6 (Scheme 1) developed in our laboratory, [7] and by employing a $C_{22}-C_{23}$ coupling strategy for introducing the side chain. The careful selection of protecting groups proved imperative both for achieving macrocyclization and facilitating the use of mild deprotection conditions, thus enabling the survival of the sensitive side chain.

Central to our synthetic planning^[6a, 8] was the late installation of the C_{17} side chain by the stereocontrolled aldol coupling of methyl ketone **7** with aldehyde **8**, thus affording a protected version of concanamycin F and potentially providing access to novel glycoside analogues. The majority of the stereochemistry and oxygenation in the two components selected for construction of the macrocyclic ketone **7**, that is the stannane **9** and the iodide **10**, would then be introduced using boron-mediated aldol reactions.^[8] Previously, we had

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis. Bn = benzyl, Bz = benzyl, PG = protecting group.

shown that an *anti*-aldol reaction between ketone **3** and methacrolein and in situ reduction of the intermediate boron aldolate gave access to a key intermediate which was elaborated into iodide **10**.^[6b]

A synthesis of the vinylstannane partner $\bf 9$, which contains six contiguous stereocenters, was now required. Achieving efficient macrocyclization (by lactonization or intramolecular sp²-sp² coupling), followed by $C_{22}-C_{23}$ aldol coupling ($\bf 7+\bf 8$) and global deprotection, were identified as further critical issues for completion of the total synthesis.

The synthesis of the $C_{14}-C_{22}$ stannane subunit **9** (Scheme 2) started out with a $(c\text{Hex})_2\text{BCl-mediated}$ boron aldol reaction^[7a,b] of ethyl ketone **5** with acetaldehyde, which gave the *anti*-adduct **11** in 99% yield (>98% ds). The temporary introduction of the C_{21} -hydroxy group enabled access to the desired $C_{19}-C_{20}$ *syn* relationship by employing a 1,3-*anti* hydroxy-directed reduction. Thus, an Evans–Tishchenko reduction^[9] of **11** (SmI₂, PhCHO) generated the ester **12** as the sole product (94%). A three-step sequence of: 1) silylation to generate the diethylisopropylsilyl (DEIPS) ether; 2) hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ether (Pd(OH)₂); and 3) Swern oxidation gave **13** in 96% overall yield.

The novel (S)-lactate-derived ketone $\mathbf{4}^{[10]}$ was employed for the chain extension of $\mathbf{13}$ and introduction of the oxygenbearing stereocenters at C_{16} and C_{17} . Enolization of $\mathbf{4}$ ((cHex)₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O) generated the (E)-enol borinate

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the $C_{14}-C_{22}$ subunit (9). a) $(cHex)_2BCl$, Et_3N , Et_2O , $0^{\circ}C$, 2 h; MeCHO, Et_2O , $-78 \rightarrow -20^{\circ}C$, 16 h; H_2O_2 , MeOH, pH 7 buffer, $0^{\circ}C$, 1 h; b) PhCHO, SmI_2 , THF, $-10^{\circ}C$, 1 h; c) DEIPSCl, imidazole, DMF, $20^{\circ}C$, 16 h; d) Pd(OH) $_2$, H_2 , $20^{\circ}C$, 3 h; e) DMSO, $(COCl)_2$, CH_2Cl_2 ; Et_3N , $-78 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C$, 30 min; f) $(cHex)_2BCl$, Et_3N , Et_2O , $0^{\circ}C$, 3 h; 13, Et_2O , $-78 \rightarrow -20^{\circ}C$, 16 h; H_2O_2 , MeOH, pH 7 buffer, $0^{\circ}C$, 1 h; g) NaBH $_4$, MeOH, $20^{\circ}C$, 30 min; h) K_2CO_3 , MeOH, $20^{\circ}C$, 30 min; i) Pb(OAc) $_4$, Na $_2CO_3$, CH_2Cl_2

14 which on addition to aldehyde 13 gave solely the *anti-* α -methoxy- β -hydroxy ketone 15 (96%), where the dominant enolate π -facial bias is matched with the (weak) Felkin – Anh preference of the aldehyde component. Compound 15 was then transformed into the β -hydroxy aldehyde 16 in 89% overall yield by: 1) ketone reduction (NaBH₄); 2) chemoselective hydrolysis (K₂CO₃, MeOH) of the benzoate proximate to the C₁₅-OH; and 3) glycol cleavage (Pb(OAc)₄).

Elaboration into the (E)-alkenylstannane **9** was then addressed. After obtaining unsatisfactory yields for Takai

olefination^[11] on this sensitive, highly oxygenated aldehyde, the desired transformation was achieved by using the Ohira–Bestmann alkynation protocol^[12] (75%) and subsequent Pd-catalyzed *syn*-hydrostannation^[13] of the terminal alkyne **17** to give **9** in 80% yield. This efficient 11-step sequence provided the $C_{14}-C_{22}$ subunit **9** in 46% yield with essentially complete control in the introduction of the six stereogenic centers.

At this point, it proved necessary to replace the cyclic silylene protection of the $C_{7,9}$ -diol in the vinyl iodide **10** (Scheme 3) with the corresponding bis-triethylsilyl (TES) ether **18**. The $C_{13}-C_{14}$ coupling between stannane **9** and iodide **18** to give diene **19** was achieved in 89% yield under mild conditions (NMP, 20 °C, 1 h) using copper(i) thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC), a new Cu^I reagent introduced by Liebeskind et al.^[14] to promote Stille-type coupling reactions in the absence of Pd catalysis.^[15] Notably, this is the first application of this new procedure for the construction of the characteristic diene unit found in the concanamycins and bafilomycins.

Selective cleavage of the methyl ester in the presence of the benzoate was then performed by nucleophilic displacement with KOSiMe₃, ^[16] providing the secoacid derivative **20** (88 %) in readiness for macrolactonization. Cyclization to the 18membered macrolide 21 proceeded in 69% yield under modified Yamaguchi conditions (2,4,6-Cl₃(C₆H₂)COCl, Et₃N, 4-DMAP, PhMe).[17] The use of acyclic protecting groups was crucial to the success of this reaction.^[18] We initially prepared the corresponding secoacid derivatives **20** ($R^1 - R^1 = Si(tBu)_2$, $R^2 = DEIPS$ or H, $R^3 = Bz$, $R^4 = H$) with silvene protection of the C_{79} -diol, by a similar sequence from 10 itself. These protected derivatives could not be macrolactonized under Yamaguchi or other, more forcing, conditions, presumably due to their inability to access the required conformation. The 18-membered macrolactone could also be generated in 64% yield by an intramolecular Stille coupling performed on 22,[18] which was obtained by hydrolysis of 18 (100%) and esterification of the derived acid 23 with 9 (67%). In contrast to the above intermolecular process, this cyclization succeeded under Pd catalysis, [19] as also shown by Toshima et al., [5b] whereas attempted Cu^I catalysis with CuTC led to protodestannylation of 22. Next, reductive cleavage of the benzoate in the presence of the lactone carbonyl group was achieved selectively by treatment of 21 with DIBAL, which after tetrapropylammonium perruthenate (TPAP) oxidation^[20] of the resulting C21-alcohol provided the macrocyclic methyl ketone 7 in 76% yield.

Introduction of the remainder of the concanamycin side chain was best achieved using a carefully optimized Mukaiyama aldol coupling, which relied on Felkin–Anh induction from the aldehyde component **8** (prepared from ketone $6^{[6a]}$). The preformed silyl enol ether from **7** (LiHMDS, Me₃SiCl, Et₃N) was combined in CH₂Cl₂ with aldehyde **8**, in the presence of CaH₂, with aldehyde **8**, in the presence of CaH₂, and treated with BF₃·OEt₂ at -100° C for 5 min. This provided the required aldol adduct **24** as the sole isomer in 81% yield. Finally, successful removal of the silyl protecting groups necessitated stepwise treatment with TASF^[23] to give the hemiacetal **25** (94%), followed by acidic hydrolysis (TsOH, aq. MeCN) of the remaining TES ethers.

Scheme 3. Subunit coupling and total synthesis of (+)-concanamycin F (2). a) $HF \cdot Et_3N$, THF, $20^{\circ}C$, 7d; b) TESOTf, 2.6-lutidine, CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C$, 10 min; e) CUTC, CUT

This gave a 56% yield of concanamycin F (2) ($[a]_D^{20} = +10.6$ (c = 0.32, CHCl₃)), which had identical physical and spectroscopic data to material derived^[1f] from concanamycin A ($[a]_D^{20} = +11.0$ (c = 0.3, CHCl₃)).

In summary, we have completed an expedient synthesis of concanamycin F (26 steps longest linear sequence from 3 in 5.3% overall yield with >85% overall diastereoselectivity). This work demonstrates the power of substrate-based aldol stereocontrol using the ketone building blocks 3-6 and the utility of the Liebeskind Cu^I-promoted protocol for the Stilletype coupling of complex, polyoxygenated fragments (as in $9+18\rightarrow 19$). Moreover, the synthesis of novel concanamycin macrolides having modified biological activity should now be feasible.

Received: November 15, 1999 [Z14277]

- Hasumi, A. Endo, *J. Antibiot.* **1992**, *45*, 1108; f) K. U. Bindseil, A. Zeeck, *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 5487.
- [2] a) E. J. Bowman, A. Siebers, K. Altendorf, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*1988, 85, 7972; b) Z. Vanek, J. Mateju, E. Curdova, *Folia Microbiol.*(*Prague*) 1991, 36, 99; c) S. Dröse, K. U. Bindseil, E. J. Bowman, A. Siebers, A. Zeeck, K. Altendorf, *Biochemistry* 1993, 32, 3902.
- [3] a) R. Guinea, L. Carrasco, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1994, 201, 1270; b) T. Kataoka, N. Shinohara, H. Takayama, K. Takaku, S. Kondo, S. Yonehara, K. Nagai, J. Immunol. 1996, 156, 3678; c) N. Altan, Y. Chen, M. Schindler, S. M. Simon, J. Exp. Med. 1998, 187, 1583; d) J.-T. Woo, Y. Ohba, K. Tagami, K. Sumitani, K. Yamaguchi, T. Tsuji, Biol. Pharm. Bull. 1996, 19, 297; e) S. Gagliardi, G. Nadler, E. Consolandi, C. Parini, M. Morvan, M. N. Legave, P. Belfiore, A. Zocchetti, G. D. Clarke, I. James, P. Nambi, M. Gowen, C. Farina, J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 1568.
- [4] a) T. Jyojima, M. Katohno, N. Miyamoto, M. Nakata, S. Matsumura, K. Toshima, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 6003; b) T. Jyojima, N. Miyamoto, M. Katohno, M. Nakata, S. Matsumura, K. Toshima, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 6007.
- [5] a) D. A. Evans, M. A. Calter, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6871; b) K. Toshima, T. Jyojima, H. Yamaguchi, Y. Noguchi, T. Yoshida, H. Murase, M. Nakata, S. Matsumura, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3271;
 c) K. A. Scheidt, A. Tasaka, T. D. Bannister, M. D. Wendt, W. R. Roush, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 1760; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1652; d) K. Makino, N. Nakajima, S. Hashimoto, O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 9077.
- [6] For previous synthetic studies, see: a) I. Paterson, M. D. McLeod, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 9065; b) I. Paterson, M. D. McLeod, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 4183.

a) H. Kinashi, K. Someno, K. Sakaguchi, T. Higashijima, T. Miyazawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3857; H. Kinashi, K. Someno, K. Sakaguchi, T. Higashijima, T. Miyazawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 3861; b) H. Kinashi, K. Sakaguchi, T. Higashijima, T. Miyazawa, J. Antibiot. 1982, 35, 1618; c) H. Kinashi, K. Someno, K. Sakaguchi, J. Antibiot. 1984, 37, 1333; d) J. W. Westley, C.-M. Liu, L. H. Sello, R. H. Evans, N. Troupe, J. F. Blount, A. M. Chiu, L. J. Torado, P. A. Miller, J. Antibiot. 1984, 37, 1738; e) J.-T. Woo, C. Shinohara, K. Sakai, K.

- [7] a) I. Paterson, J. M. Goodman, M. Isaka, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7121; b) I. Paterson, R. D. Norcross, R. A. Ward, P. Romea, M. A. Lister, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11287; c) I. Paterson, D. J. Wallace, C. J. Cowden, Synthesis 1998, 639; d) for a review of asymmetric aldol reactions using boron enolates, see: C. J. Cowden, I. Paterson, Org. React. 1997, 51, 1.
- [8] A similar aldol construction of the bafilomycin A₁ side chain was used in the recent synthesis reported by the Roush group, see ref. [5c].
- [9] D. A. Evans, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6447.
- [10] The ketone **4** was prepared in three steps (84%) from (S)-ethyl lactate by the sequence 1) MeOCH₂SPh, tBuLi, -78°C, 2 h; then (S)-MeCH(OH)CO₂Et, 40 min; 2) (PhCO)₂O, iPr₂NEt, 4-DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 20°C, 2 h; 3) W-2 Raney Ni, H₂, EtOH, 20°C, 30 min.
- [11] K. Takai, K. Nitta, K. Utimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7408.
- [12] a) S. Ohira, Synth. Commun. 1989, 19, 561; b) S. Müller, B. Liepold,
 G. J. Roth, H. J. Bestmann, Synlett 1996, 521.
- [13] H. X. Zhang, F. Guibe, G. Balavoine, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1857.
- [14] a) G. D. Allred, L. S. Liebeskind, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2748;
 b) I. Paterson, J. Man, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 695;
 c) I. Paterson, H.-G. Lombart, C. Allerton, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 19.
- [15] The corresponding reaction using [Pd(PPh₃)₄] and CuI gave 19 in low yield (20%).
- [16] a) E. D. Laganis, B. L. Chenard, Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5831;
 b) S. S. Bhagwat, P. R. Hamann, W. C. Still, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6372.
- [17] a) J. Inanaga, K. Hirata, H. Saeki, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 1989; b) M. Hikota, Y. Sakurai, K. Horita, O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6367.
- [18] Initially, the analogous compound 22 $(R^1-R^1=Si(tBu)_2)$ retaining the silylene protecting group was prepared, but the macrocyclization failed under both Stille and Liebeskind coupling conditions.
- [19] a) V. Farina, B. Krishnan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9585;
 b) M. A. J. Duncton, G. Pattenden, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1
 1900, 1235
- [20] S. V. Ley, J. Norman, W. P. Griffith, S. P. Marsden, Synthesis 1994, 639.
- [21] This aldol fragment coupling strategy was conceived based on our earlier work on swinholide A and scytophycin C, see: I. Paterson, J. G. Cumming, J. D. Smith, R. A. Ward, K.-S. Yeung, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 3405.
- [22] The inclusion of CaH₂ as a drying agent was found to be essential. In its absence, the methyl ketone 7 was formed by adventitious hydrolysis with only low yields of adduct 24 isolated. The corresponding aldol reaction with the lithium enolate of 7 resulted in much poorer stereocontrol at C₂₃ (ca. 3:1). For other related studies, see ref. [5c] and D. A. Evans, M. J. Dart, J. L. Duffy, M. G. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 4322.
- [23] In contrast to its successful use with bafilomycin A₁, prolonged treatment of 24 or 25 with this reagent under the Roush conditions failed to deprotect the two TES ethers without decomposition, see K. A. Scheidt, H. Chen, B. C. Follows, S. R. Chemler, D. S. Coffey, W. R. Roush, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6436.

Organo-Lewis Acid Cocatalysts in Single-Site Olefin Polymerization—A Highly Acidic Perfluorodiboraanthracene**

Matthew V. Metz, David J. Schwartz, Charlotte L. Stern, Peter N. Nickias, and Tobin J. Marks*

For early transition metal single-site homogeneous olefin polymerization catalysts $I^{[1]}$, organo-Lewis acids such as alumoxanes and tris(perfluoroaryl)boranes (e.g., II-IV)^[2] play a key role both in catalyst activation and in mechanistic understanding. For example, growing evidence indicates that the cation—anion pairing, as modulated by the steric and electronic characteristics of the cocatalyst/counter anion (A- in I), [2, 3] can have a profound influence on polymerization and chain-transfer rates, enchainment stereochemistry, and catalyst stability. [2-4] With the goal of further modifying fluoroarylborane architecture to enhance Lewis acidity (multiple electron-deficient centers, conjugative negative-charge

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B}$$

delocalization, open structure), we focused on the perfluorodiboraanthracene skeleton \mathbf{V} , for which calculations at the B3LYP/6-31++G** level indicate $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{b}$ to have about 10 kcal mol^{-1} greater affinity for CH_3^- than does $B(C_6F_5)_3$. We communicate here the synthesis, characterization, and unusual properties of $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{b}$, including significantly enhanced Lewis acidity and cocatalytic olefin polymerization activity. The support of the perfluoro-

[*] Prof. T. J. Marks, M. V. Metz, Dr. D. J. Schwartz, [+] C. L. Stern Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University

Evanston, IL 60208-3113 (USA)

Fax: (+1)847-491-2990

E-mail: tjmarks@casbah.acns.nwu.edu

Dr. P. N. Nickias

Catalysis Laboratory, 1776 Building The Dow Chemical Company

Midland, MI 48674 (USA)

- [+] Current address: The Dow Chemical Company
 - 2301 N. Brazosport Blvd., B-3827 Freeport, TX 77541-3257 (USA)
- [**] This research was supported by DOE Grant 86 ER 13511. D.J.S. thanks the Dow Chemical Co. for a postdoctoral fellowship. The authors thank Drs. Mark McAdon and Eugene Chen for helpful discussions.